1

#### 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 SHANNON CAMPBELL, Case Nos.: 12-CV-04233-LHK 13-CV-00233-LHK 12 Plaintiff, v. 13 [PROPOSED] VERDICT FORM FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC., and 14 MICHAEL STUART, 15 Defendants. 16 MARK ENNIS, 17 Plaintiff, 18 v. 19 FELD ENTERTAINMENT, INC., and MICHAEL STUART, 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 The parties shall file any objections not previously lodged with the Court by February 19, 24 2015, at 1:00 p.m. 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 27 Dated: February 18, 2015

ncy H. Koh

United States District Judge

Case Nos.: 12-CV-04233-LHK; 13-CV-00233-LHK

#### Case 5:12-cv-04233-LHK Document 351 Filed 02/18/15 Page 2 of 6

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below. For each Yes or No question, please circle your answer.
We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.
Shannon Campbell – Ralph Act Claim, Cal. Civ. Code § 51.7.
1. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting

1. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a Ralph Act violation(s) as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?

Yes No

2. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a Ralph Act violation as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?

Yes No

## Mark Ennis – Ralph Act Claim, Cal. Civ. Code § 51.7

3. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a Ralph Act violation(s) as to Plaintiff Mark Ennis?

Yes No

4. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a Ralph Act violation as to Plaintiff Mark Ennis?

Yes No

## Shannon Campbell – Bane Act Claim, Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1

5. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a Bane Act violation(s) as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?

Yes No

6. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a Bane Act violation as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?

Yes No

Case Nos.: 12-CV-04233-LHK; 13-CV-00233-LHK

Mark	Ennis – Bane	Act Claim, Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1			
7.	. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a Bane Act violation(s) as to Plaintiff Mar. Ennis?				
	Yes	No			
8.	8. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a Bane Act violation as to Plaintiff Mark Enni				
	Yes	No			
Shanr	on Campbell	– Battery			
9.		Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting pe of their employment liable for a battery or batteries as to Plaintiff Shannon			
	Yes	No			
10	10. If you answered yes to Question 9, was Feld Entertainment, Inc., through the actions of employees while acting within the scope of their employment, acting in self-defense?				
	Yes	No			
11	11. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a battery as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?				
	Yes	No			
12	12. If you answered yes to Question 11, was Michael Stuart acting in self-defense?				
	Yes	No			

1	Mark Ennis – Battery				
2 3	13. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for a battery or batteries as to Plaintiff Mark Ennis?				
4	Yes	No			
5 6	14. If you answered yes to Question 13, was Feld Entertainment, Inc., through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment, acting in self-defense?				
7	Yes	No			
8	15. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for a battery as to Plaintiff Mark Ennis?				
9	Yes	No			
10	16. If you answered yes to Question 15, was Michael Stuart acting in self-defense?				
11	Yes	No			
12 13	Shannon Campl	pell – Assault			
14	17. Is Defendant Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment liable for an assault(s) as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?				
15					
16	Yes	No			
17	18. Is Defendant Michael Stuart liable for an assault as to Plaintiff Shannon Campbell?				
18	Yes	No			
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

Case Nos.: 12-CV-04233-LHK; 13-CV-00233-LHK [PROPOSED] VERDICT FORM

#### **Shannon Campbell – Damages**

Answer the questions below if you answered yes to any of the following: Questions 1, 2, 5, 6, 9
(only if you also answered no to Question 10), 11 (only if you also answered no to Question
12), 17, or 18. If you did not, proceed to Question 22.

19. V	What amount,	if any, do you award Shannon Campbell as actual damages?
\$	<u> </u>	
20. Did Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the scope of their employment or Michael Stuart engage in conduct with malice, oppression, of fraud towards Shannon Campbell?		
Y	<i>Y</i> es	No
21. If you answered yes to Question 20, what amount, if any, do you award Shannon Campbell as punitive damages?		

# Mark Ennis – Damages

Answer the questions below if you answered yes to any of the following: Questions 3, 4, 7, 8, 13 (only if you also answered no to Question 14), or 15 (only if you also answered no to Question 16). If you did not, stop here and have the Presiding Juror sign and date this form.

22. What amount, if any, do you award Mark Ennis as actual damages?

	\$
23.	Did Feld Entertainment, Inc. through the actions of its employees while acting within the
	scope of their employment or Michael Stuart engage in conduct with malice, oppression, or
	fraud towards Mark Ennis?

Yes No

24. If you answered yes to Question 23, what amount, if any, do you award Mark Ennis as punitive damages?

## Case 5:12-cv-04233-LHK Document 351 Filed 02/18/15 Page 6 of 6

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

United States District Court For the Northern District of California You have now reached the end of this Verdict Form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign and date the Verdict Form in the spaces below and notify the Bailiff that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

DATED:	, 2015	By:	
		Presiding Juror	

6

Case Nos.: 12-CV-04233-LHK; 13-CV-00233-LHK